

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Urine polymerase chain reaction as a screening tool for the detection of congenital cytomegalovirus infection

Y Schlesinger, D Halle, A I Eidelman, D Reich, D Dayan, B Rudensky, D Raveh, D Branski, M Kaplan, V Shefer, D Miron

Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2003;**88**:F371–F374

See end of article for authors' affiliations

Correspondence to:
Dr Schlesinger, Infectious Diseases Unit, Shaare Zedek Medical Center, PO Box 3235, Jerusalem 91031, Israel; yechiel@szmc.org.ilAccepted
10 October 2002**Objectives:** To define the incidence of congenital cytomegalovirus (CMV) infection in a defined population in Israel as diagnosed by urine polymerase chain reaction (PCR), and to assess the utility of this method for screening for congenital CMV infection.**Design:** A convenient sample of urine specimens from asymptomatic newborns were subjected to CMV PCR. Positive results were validated by urine tube culture and by determination of serum CMV IgM antibodies. Maternal CMV IgG was determined in a representative sample of mothers. Newborns with positive urine specimens underwent full clinical evaluation. Epidemiological characteristics of the mothers were extracted from the medical records.**Settings:** Two medical centres in Israel with different population characteristics.**Patients:** A total of 2000 newborns (1000 in each medical centre).**Main outcome measure:** Presence of CMV DNA in the urine.**Results:** Despite significant epidemiological differences between the populations in the two hospitals, the CMV seroprevalence was similar, 80.5% and 85%. Fourteen of the 2000 newborns screened (0.7%) were PCR positive. Urine culture was positive in nine of 10 specimens; IgM was positive in only two of 13 newborns with positive PCR. Eleven newborns underwent full or partial evaluation, and only one (9%) was symptomatic.**Conclusions:** The incidence of congenital CMV infection in the study population was 0.7%; over 90% were asymptomatic. Urinary CMV PCR is a reliable, rapid, and convenient method, and thus may serve as a screening tool for the detection of congenital CMV infection.

Congenital cytomegalovirus (CMV) infection is currently the leading cause of congenital infection world wide, occurring in 0.2–2.2% of live births.^{1–4} Over 90% of neonates with congenital CMV infection appear normal at birth. However, 10–20% of such infants will suffer from late central nervous system sequelae, primarily sensory-neuronal deafness. Early detection of hearing deficits and intervention is mandatory to minimise subsequent neurodevelopmental dysfunctions. In addition, the preliminary results of the randomised, placebo controlled study of the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) (Anti Viral Study Group) of ganciclovir treatment of symptomatic newborns with congenital CMV infection suggest that ganciclovir may be superior to placebo in preventing hearing deterioration in these patients.⁵ As this study did not examine asymptomatic newborns with congenital CMV infection, no simple extrapolation can be made from this study to asymptomatic patients. However, given the potential benefit of ganciclovir, its potential role in the treatment of this patient population should be explored. Hence, a simple method that would allow screening of asymptomatic infants with congenital CMV infection would be of interest.

Classically, the diagnosis of congenital CMV infection has been made by detection of the virus in the urine within the first three weeks of life. Currently, the best approach is to cultivate the virus from fresh urine in tissue culture. However, this technique is expensive and laborious and therefore not very suitable for mass screening. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) has recently been shown to be a sensitive method for detecting CMV DNA in the urine and thus can be used to diagnose congenital CMV infection.^{6,7} As it can be performed on stored frozen urine specimens, it is a potentially suitable method for rapid screening of a large number of neonates. In contrast, serological diagnosis of congenital CMV infection

(CMV IgM) has been shown in several studies to be an insensitive method.⁸

The seroprevalence of Israeli women of childbearing age in a recent study of 6126 parturient women was 84.3%,⁹ leaving 15% of the women susceptible to primary CMV infection during pregnancy. Hence, routine screening for congenital CMV in Israel was deemed not to be justified.⁹ Given the pool of potentially CMV infected infants and the availability of the new PCR methodology, we initiated this study. The two primary aims were to (a) evaluate the diagnostic accuracy of the PCR technique and (b) delineate the incidence of congenital CMV infection in a defined population so as to evaluate the value of the PCR method as a mass screening tool.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Study population

The study was conducted between 1 May 1998 and 31 August 31 1999 in two hospitals: Shaare Zedek Medical Center in Jerusalem (centre 1) and Ha'Emek Medical Center in Northern Israel (centre 2). These two medical centres were chosen because their populations differ in many epidemiological aspects from each other, and represent the heterogeneous population typical of the State of Israel. Two thousand neonates aged up to 3 days (1000 newborns each) were included. The ethics committees of the two participating hospitals approved the study. Informed written consent was obtained from the parents of each newborn.

Abbreviations: CMV, cytomegalovirus; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; BERA, brain stem evoked response audiometry

Basic data on participating mothers, obtained from the hospital records, included maternal age, ethnic group (Jewish or non-Jewish), and residency (urban or rural).

Specimen collection and processing

The selection of newborns from whom specimens were collected was based on convenience. Urine specimens were collected into a sterile urine bag attached to the perineum after thorough cleaning. All the urine specimens were processed in the Infectious Diseases Molecular Diagnostic Laboratory at Shaare Zedek Medical Center. The specimens from centre 2 were stored at 4°C, and transported on ice within one week to the laboratory. On arrival, they were labelled and boiled for 10 minutes at 100°C to denature proteins that may inhibit the PCR. All the samples were stored at 4°C, centrifuged, and the supernatants used for the PCR.

PCR assay

Common methods to prevent contamination and false positive results were used, including separate rooms for setting up the reaction and detection of the products, UV irradiation of all the reaction components except the primers, the Taq polymerase, and the urine specimens, and use of plugged tips.¹⁰ A negative control tube was added to each PCR run.

One of two sets of primers was used for the screening. A positive result with either set was confirmed with the other.

The first primer set was:

5'-CCGCAACCTGTGGCCCATGG-3'

5'-CGTTTGGGTTGCGCAGCGGG-3'. This amplifies 139 bp from the gp64 late antigen region.¹¹

The second primer set was:

5'-AGCTGCATGATGTGAGCAAG-3'

5'-GAAGGCTGAGTTCTTGGTAA-3'. This amplifies 147 bp from the 4th exon of the human CMV immediate early antigen.¹²

The reaction mixture contained 12 pmol each primer, 200 µmol each dNTP, 0.2 units Taq polymerase (SR Products, Sevenoaks, Kent, UK), and buffer containing MgCl₂ at a final concentration of 1.5 mmol/l, supplied by the same company. A 1.2 µl sample of the urine to be tested was added to the reaction mixture to a final volume of 12.5 µl. The amplification was performed in a RoboCycler (Stratagene, La Jolla, California, USA). After initial heating at 95°C for two minutes, 32 cycles of 95°C for 30 seconds, followed by 65°C for 60 seconds were performed. The reaction was finished with 10 minutes at 65°C. The reaction products were run on a 3% agarose gel, and detected by staining with 0.5 µg/l ethidium bromide. Product sizes of 139 and 147 bp were consistent with a positive result of the late and immediate early antigens respectively.

Urine culture

A positive PCR result for a urine sample with either of the two primer sets were confirmed by tube culture and shell vial culture, using conventional methods. The cultures were performed on a fresh, aseptically collected urine specimen, in the Central Laboratory for Virology, Sheba Medical Center, Tel Hashomer, Israel.

Preliminary assessment of urine PCR sensitivity

The sensitivity of the PCR assay was determined by serial dilutions of a lysate of tissue culture infected by CMV in urine specimens randomly collected from the microbiology laboratory. The reaction was able to detect 5000 viral copies in 1 ml urine. As the reliability of urine specimens for PCR has been previously challenged because of potential inhibitors,¹³ the PCR assay was repeated with multiple urine specimens. A total of 100 urine specimens randomly collected from the microbiology laboratory were spiked with 1.2 µl of a tissue culture lysate. Positive results were consistently (99%) found, with 20–30 viral copies/reaction (2.5 × 10⁴ copies/ml). The median

Table 1 Characteristics of mothers of 2000 newborns screened for congenital cytomegalovirus (CMV) infection

Characteristic	Number (%)
Mothers with available data	1939
CMV IgG seroprevalence (%)*	82.6
Ethnic group	
Jewish	1414 (73)
Non-Jewish	525 (21)
Number with urine positive for CMV	14 (0.7)

*Based on a representative sample of 616 mothers.

urine viral load in congenital CMV is very high, and was recently determined to be 1.6 × 10³ copies/ml.¹⁴ Therefore the assay was deemed suitable for screening purposes.

Serology

Measurement of cord blood IgG antibodies against CMV was performed on 333 (33.3%) consecutive mothers in centre 1 and 283 (28.3%) consecutive mothers in centre 2, reflecting maternal CMV seroprevalence.

The serum of newborns with either positive urine PCR or tube culture for CMV in their urine specimen was tested for the presence of CMV IgM by antibody capture ELISA (DiaSorin, Saluggia, Italy).

Clinical assessment

Newborns with positive urine PCR infection were clinically assessed as follows:

- repeated complete physical examinations
- blood tests (complete blood count, kidney function tests, liver enzymes, CMV IgM)
- head ultrasonography or computed tomography scan for identification of brain calcifications
- retinal examination for diagnosis of chorioretinitis
- hearing evaluation by brain stem evoked response audiometry (BERA)

Statistical analysis

Data were analysed using the EpiInfo 6.0 software package. p Values were calculated by χ^2 , and by the Fisher exact test where indicated because of small numbers. p < 0.05 was considered to be significant.

RESULTS

Overall, 2000 newborns were included in the study, of which 140 (7.2%) were premature (< 37 weeks gestation). There were differences in epidemiological aspects between the two centres with regard to ethnicity (Jews v Arabs) and residency (urban v rural). However, there was no significant difference in CMV seroprevalence between the two centres (80.5% and 85% in centres 1 and 2 respectively). Also, there was no difference in CMV seroprevalence between Jews and non-Jews, or between urban and rural residents (data not shown). As the rates were very similar, we combined the two groups and analysed them as one. Fourteen of the 2000 newborns (0.7%) were found to have CMV DNA in their urine, consistent with congenital CMV infection. Maternal epidemiological data were available for 1939 newborns. Table 1 shows the major characteristics.

Table 2 summarises the clinical characteristics of the newborns with congenital CMV infection. Urine of 10 of the 14 newborns with positive urinary CMV PCR was cultured for CMV. The families of the other four either refused (two), were not available (one), or had left the country before the test was

Table 2 Characteristics of infants with congenital cytomegalovirus (CMV) infection

Patient No*	Sex	Ethnic group	Gest. age (weeks)	SGA	Microcephaly	CMV IgM	Urine culture	Head CT/US	Retinal exam	BERA	Blood tests†
1	M	J	40	N	N	Negative	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2	F	J	40	N	N	Negative	Positive	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
3	M	J	39	N	N	Negative	Positive	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
4	M	J	40	N	N	Negative	Positive	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
5	M	J	37	N	N	Negative	Positive	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
6	F	J	41	N	N	Negative	Positive	Normal	ND	Normal	Normal
7	F	J	40	N	N	Negative	Negative	Normal	ND	Normal	Normal
8	M	J	39	N	N	Negative	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
9	F	J	40	N	N	Negative	Positive	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
10	M	J	40	N	Y	Positive	Positive	Calcifications	Normal	Normal	Hepatitis Anaemia platelets↓↓
11	M	NJ	33	N	N	Negative	ND	Normal	Normal	Normal	Hepatitis
12	M	NJ	40	N	N	Negative	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
13	M	NJ	40	N	N	ND	Positive	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
14	M	NJ	28	N	N	Positive	Positive	Normal	Normal	ND	Normal

*Patients 1 through 10 are from centre 1; patients 11 through 14 are from centre 2.

†Complete blood count, liver enzymes, renal function.

J, Jewish; NJ, non-Jewish; Gest, gestational; SGA, small for gestational age; CT, computed tomography; US, ultrasonography; exam, examination; BERA, brain stem evoked response audiometry.

performed (one). Nine of the 10 cultures were positive for CMV. In the one culture negative case, three urine specimens collected on different occasions were strongly PCR positive with both primer sets, and culture, which was performed only once, was considered to be falsely negative. CMV IgM was measured in 13 infected infants and was found in only two neonates (15%), one symptomatic and one asymptomatic. Eleven of the 14 newborns with congenital CMV infection underwent a full or partial evaluation according to the study plan. Two families refused, and one patient could not be evaluated because his parents had left the country before results of the PCR testing became available. Only one infected newborn (7%) was symptomatic (No 10). He was born at term after an uneventful pregnancy with no prenatal evaluation. He was small for gestational age with a birth weight of 2510 g (3rd centile) and a head circumference of 28.5 cm (< 3%). He had hepatosplenomegaly and thrombocytopenia (80 000/mm³) with petechial rash. The results of eye examination and BERA testing were normal. Brain ultrasonography and computed tomography showed severe periventricular calcifications.¹⁵ Another baby (No 11) had evidence of transient mild hepatitis.

DISCUSSION

The utility of PCR in place of urine culture and CMV IgM as a screening tool for congenital CMV infection is the most important finding of this study. Urine PCR was found to be very specific. We acknowledge that the sensitivity of the PCR assay was not directly assessed in this study, as urine cultures were not performed routinely on all the specimens. Furthermore, some investigators have questioned the validity of PCR results on urine specimens, because of the possible presence of PCR inhibitors in the urine.¹³ However, on the basis of our experiments showing 99% PCR positivity in 100 urine specimens spiked with as low as 20–30 viral copies of CMV, we consider that we have shown the assay methodology to have almost 100% sensitivity, and therefore confirmed its value and utility as a screening tool. Urine PCR has also been successfully used as a screening method by Demler *et al*⁶ and Tsai *et al*.⁷ In the future, screening may become even simpler, with the option of DNA extraction from Guthrie filter paper and automated amplification of CMV sequences.^{16–18}

The CMV IgM antibodies were negative in all but one of the asymptomatic CMV infected newborns. Melish and Hanshaw⁸ have also found that only 50% of culture proven CMV infected newborns were IgM positive, and noted that the

IgM results tended to be positive along with higher titres in the symptomatic newborns. These results are very important for clinicians, because, although IgM is known not to be fully sensitive, this method is still considered reasonable for the diagnosis of congenital CMV infection.

We found a combined seroprevalence of 82.6%. This is similar to that of Stein *et al*,⁹ who found a seroprevalence of 84.3% in 6126 women of childbearing age in Israel. It seems that, despite major differences among different subgroups in this country, the overall CMV seroprevalence is similarly very high, with a consequent incidence of CMV infection. Assuming a 4% risk of primary CMV infection during pregnancy, 40% risk of fetal infection, and a 10% risk of early symptomatic infection,¹ the 135 000 annual deliveries in Israel translate to 30–35 cases of symptomatic congenital CMV infection. A similar number of infants who appear normal at birth are expected to develop late neurological dysfunction, primarily hearing deficits.¹ We found congenital CMV infection in 14 of 2000 newborns, and if the same rate applies to the remainder of the country, about 945 cases can be expected to occur annually, most of which probably being the result of re-activation of previous infection. With the new data of Boppana *et al*^{19–20} showing symptomatic infection in infants of mothers with re-activation rather than primary CMV infection during pregnancy, the number of symptomatic patients for whom routine screening is justified may be higher than that estimated by Stein *et al*.⁹

The spectrum of the mass screening programme in many US states has been widened, and many states routinely screen for various diseases, including congenital infections such as HIV and toxoplasmosis.^{21–24} Both the incidence of congenital CMV, which is much higher than that of hypothyroidism or phenylketonuria, and our results suggesting that urine PCR screening is convenient and reliable, makes CMV an attractive object of screening. However, the justification for routine screening may be questioned because of the lack of a proven mode of intervention. On the other hand, it may be argued that the knowledge per se of the risk of sensory-neuronal damage in the CMV infected newborn should prompt close monitoring and early diagnosis and intervention. Furthermore, the results of the randomised, placebo controlled study of the NIAID study noted superiority of ganciclovir over placebo in the treatment of symptomatic patients in preventing hearing deterioration.^{5–25} These results are premature, and as yet no firm recommendations have been made. Therefore the role of such treatment for asymptomatic children needs to

be fully studied. Hence, a screening methodology that can identify such potential asymptomatic patients should be welcomed.

In summary, this study illustrates the potential role of urine PCR as a screening method for the diagnosis of congenital CMV infection, and confirms the lack of sensitivity of the newborn IgM status for the same purpose. By using the urine PCR screening method, we were able to evaluate the magnitude of the problem in a defined population in Israel. These results may be the basis for future larger scale studies to evaluate the feasibility and effectiveness of a routine screening programme of newborns for the presence of congenital CMV infection.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Dr Dana Wolf, Head of the Clinical Virology Laboratory, Hadassah-Hebrew University Medical Center, Jerusalem, for quantitation of the viral copies in the CMV cell culture lysate, and to Ms Nina Rabinowitz for her superb technical assistance.

This work was presented in part at the 37th conference of the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA), November 1999, Philadelphia, USA.

.....

Authors' affiliations

Y Schlesinger, D Halle, A I Eidelman, D Dayan, B Rudensky, D Raveh, D Branski, M Kaplan, Shaare Zedek Medical Center, Jerusalem, affiliated with Hebrew University School of Medicine, Jerusalem and Ben-Gurion Faculty of Medicine, Beer Sheva, Israel
D Reich, V Shefer, D Miron, Ha'Emek Medical Center, Afula, affiliated with Rappaport School of Medicine, Technion, Haifa, Israel

REFERENCES

- 1 **Stagno S.** Cytomegalovirus. In: Remington JS, Klein JO, eds. *Infectious diseases of the fetus and newborn infant*. 5th ed. Philadelphia: WB Saunders Co, 2001:389-424.
- 2 **Demmler GJ.** Summary of a workshop on surveillance for congenital cytomegalovirus disease. *Rev Infect Dis* 1991;**13**:315-29.
- 3 **Brown HL, Abernathy MP.** Cytomegalovirus infection. *Semin Perinatol* 1998;**22**:260-6.
- 4 **Kumar ML, Nankervis GA, Gold E.** Inapparent congenital cytomegalovirus infection: a follow-up study. *N Engl J Med* 1973;**288**:1370-2.
- 5 **Kimberlin DW, Lin CW, Sanchez P, et al.** Ganciclovir treatment of symptomatic congenital cytomegalovirus infection: results of phase III randomized trial. *40th Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial agents and Chemotherapy (ICAAC)*, Toronto, Canada, September 2000, abstract 1942.
- 6 **Demmler GJ, Buffone GJ, Schimbor CM, et al.** Detection of cytomegalovirus in urine from newborns by using polymerase chain reaction DNA amplification. *J Infect Dis* 1998;**156**:1177-84.
- 7 **Tsai CH, Tsai FG, Shih YT, et al.** Detection of congenital cytomegalovirus infection in Chinese newborn infants using polymerase chain reaction. *Acta Paediatr* 1996;**85**:1241-3.
- 8 **Melish ME, Hanshaw JB.** Congenital cytomegalovirus infection. Developmental progress of infants detected by routine screening. *American Journal of Diseases in Children* 1973;**126**:190-4.
- 9 **Stein O, Sheinberg B, Schiff E, et al.** Prevalence of antibodies to cytomegalovirus in a parturient population in Israel. *Isr J Med Sci* 1997;**33**:53-8.
- 10 **Kwok S, Higuchi R.** Avoiding false positives with PCR. *Nature* 1989;**339**:237-8.
- 11 **Shibata D.** Detection of human cytomegalovirus. In: Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sninsky JJ, et al, eds. *PCR protocols: a guide to methods and applications*. San Diego: Academic Press, 1990:368-71.
- 12 **Jiwa NM, Van Gemert GW, Raap AK, et al.** Rapid detection of human cytomegalovirus DNA in peripheral blood leukocytes of viremic transplant recipients by the polymerase chain reaction. *Transplantation* 1989;**48**:72-6.
- 13 **Khan G, Kangro HO, Coates PJ, et al.** Inhibitory effects of urine on the polymerase chain reaction for cytomegalovirus DNA. *J Clin Pathol* 1991;**44**:360-5.
- 14 **Kearns AM, Turner AJ, Eltringham GJ, et al.** Rapid detection and quantification of CMV DNA in urine using LightCycler-based real-time PCR. *J Clin Virol* 2002;**24**:131-4.
- 15 **Schimmel MS, Fisher D, Schlesinger Y.** Periventricular calcifications in congenital cytomegalovirus infection. *J Perinatol* 2001;**21**:209-10.
- 16 **Fischler B, Rodensjo P, Nemeth A, et al.** Cytomegalovirus DNA detection on Guthrie cards in patients with neonatal cholestasis. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 1999;**80**:F130-4.
- 17 **Johansson PJ, Jonsson M, Ahlfors K, et al.** Retrospective diagnosis of congenital cytomegalovirus infection performed by polymerase chain reaction in blood stored on filter paper. *Scand J Infect Dis* 1997;**29**:465-8.
- 18 **Shibata M, Takano H, Hironaka T, et al.** Detection of human cytomegalovirus DNA in dried newborn blood filter paper. *J Virol Methods* 1994;**46**:279-85.
- 19 **Boppa SB, Fowler KB, Britt WJ, et al.** Symptomatic congenital cytomegalovirus infection in infants born to mothers with preexisting immunity to cytomegalovirus. *Pediatrics* 1999;**104**:55-60.
- 20 **Boppa SB, Rivera LB, Fowler KB, et al.** Intrauterine transmission of cytomegalovirus to infants of women with preconceptional immunity. *N Engl J Med* 2001;**344**:1366-71.
- 21 **American Academy of Pediatrics.** Serving the family from birth to the medical home: a report from the Newborn Screening Task Force Convened in Washington DC, May 10-11, 1999. *Pediatrics* 2000;**106**:S391-3.
- 22 **White KR, Maxon AB.** Universal screening for infant hearing impairment: simple, beneficial, and presently justified. *International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology* 1995;**32**:201-11.
- 23 **Downs MP.** Universal newborn hearing screening: the Colorado story. *International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology* 1995;**32**:257-9.
- 24 **American Academy of Pediatrics, Task Force of Newborn and Infant Hearing.** Newborn and infant hearing loss: detection and intervention. *Pediatrics* 1990;**103**:527-30.
- 25 **Whitley RJ, Cloud G, Gruber W, et al.** Ganciclovir treatment of symptomatic congenital cytomegalovirus infection: results of a phase II study. *J Infect Dis* 1997;**175**:1080-6.



Urine polymerase chain reaction as a screening tool for the detection of congenital cytomegalovirus infection

Y Schlesinger, D Halle, A I Eidelman, et al.

Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2003 88: F371-F374
doi: 10.1136/fn.88.5.F371

Updated information and services can be found at:
<http://fn.bmj.com/content/88/5/F371.full.html>

These include:

References

This article cites 22 articles, 4 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://fn.bmj.com/content/88/5/F371.full.html#ref-list-1>

Email alerting service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Topic Collections

Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections

[Immunology \(including allergy\)](#) (259 articles)
[Screening \(epidemiology\)](#) (140 articles)
[Screening \(public health\)](#) (140 articles)

Notes

To request permissions go to:
<http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions>

To order reprints go to:
<http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform>

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
<http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/>